

IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL “C” BENCH, MUMBAI

**BEFORE SHRI S. RIFAUR RAHMAN, AM
BEFORE SHRI RAVISH SOOD, JM &**

आयकर अपील सं/ I.T.A. No. 4797 & 4798/Mum/2019
(निर्धारण वर्ष / Assessment Year: 2014-15 & 2015-16)

M/s Crest Ventures ltd. 111, Maker Chambers IV, 11 th floor, Nariman Point, Mumbai-400 021	बनाम/ Vs.	DCIT Circle – 2(1)(1), Room No. 552, Aayakar Bhavan, M.K. Road, Mumbai - 400020
स्थायी लेखा सं./जीआइआर सं./PAN/GIR No. : AABCS8634C		
(अपीलार्थी /Appellant)	..	(प्रत्यर्थी / Respondent)
Assessee by:	Ms. Priya, AR	
Revenue by:	Ms. Shreekala Pardeshi, DR	

सुनवाई की तारीख / Date of Hearing: 28/01/2021
घोषणा की तारीख /Date of Pronouncement: 28/01/2021

आदेश / O R D E R

PER S. RIFAUR RAHMAN, ACCOUNTANT MEMBER:

The present two appeals have been filed by the assessee against the order dated 09.05.2019 passed by Ld. Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals)-4, Mumbai [hereinafter referred to as the “Ld. CIT (A)”] relevant to A.Y. 2014-15 & 2015-16.

2. At the outset, when the appeal was called out for hearing, the Ld. Counsel of the assessee submitted that she has filed the necessary declaration under Direct Tax Vivad se Vishwas Act, 2020 (Act 3 of 2020). She filed letter dated 27.01.2021 confirming the receipt of Form- 1 & 2 and prayer to withdraw the appeal. She prayed that its right for revival of the appeal is protected, in the event of, for some unfortunate reason, the matter being not settled under the *Vivad se Vishwas scheme*.



ITA No. 4797 & 4798/Mum/2019
M/s Crest Ventures ltd.

3. The Ld. DR did not object to course so suggested.
4. Considered the rival submissions and material placed on record. We notice that liberty is granted to the assessee to revive the appeals in the event application filed by the assessee under VSVS fails to mature. It is further made clear that if the assessee /appellant seeks to restore the appeal in the event assessee's declaration made under VSVS is not accepted, the Registry shall not insist for filing of application for condonation of delay, if the Miscellaneous Application for recalling the order is filed beyond time on account of delay in communication of outcome under VSVS. [Re. M/s. Nannusamy Mohan(HUF) vs. ACIT in T.C.A No.372 of 2020 decided on 16/10/2020 by Hon'ble Madras High Court].
5. In view of the above, we dismiss both the appeals as withdrawn, subject to the rider that in the unlikely event of matter not being resolved under the *Vivad se Vishwas scheme*, the assessee shall have liberty to approach the Tribunal for restoration of its appeals.
6. In the result, both the appeals are dismissed as withdrawn – subject to the observation above.

Order pronounced in the open court on 28/01/2021

Sd/-
(RAVISH SOOD)
न्यायिक सदस्य/JUDICIAL MEMBER
मुंबई Mumbai; दिनांक Dated : 28/01/2021
Dhananjay (Sr.PS)

Sd/-
(S. RIFAUR RAHMAN)
लेखा सदस्य / ACCOUNTANT MEMBER

आदेश की प्रतिलिपि अग्रेषित/Copy of the Order forwarded to :

1. अपीलार्थी / The Appellant
2. प्रत्यर्थी / The Respondent.



ITA No. 4797 & 4798/Mum/2019
M/s Crest Ventures ltd.

3. आयकर आयुक्त(अपील) / The CIT(A)-
4. आयकर आयुक्त / CIT
5. विभागीय प्रतिनिधि, आयकर अपीलीय अधिकरण, मुंबई / DR, ITAT, Mumbai
6. गार्ड फाईल / Guard file.

आदेशानुसार/ BY ORDER,

सत्यापित प्रति //True Copy//

उप/सहायक पंजीकार / (Dy./Asstt. Registrar)
आयकर अपीलीय अधिकरण, मुंबई / ITAT, Mumbai